

Prevalence, Nature and Characteristics of External Congenital anomalies at Mulago Hospital

J. Ochieng, H. Kiryowa, I. Munabi, C.B.R. Ibingira.

Makerere University, Faculty of Medicine Department of Anatomy, P.O Box 7072, Kampala-Uganda

Correspondence to: Dr. Charles Ibingira, cibingira@yahoo.com

Background: Congenital anomalies may be structural, behavioral, functional or metabolic defects acquired before a baby is born and their nature and type are highly dependent on the causative agent as well as the time when they are first diagnosed. There was a need to identify the different defects present at birth among Ugandans in order to appreciate the magnitude of each and its eventual effect on the affected individual. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence, nature and characteristics of external congenital anomalies in live born babies at Mulago hospital.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional descriptive study conducted at New Mulago hospital, Kampala Uganda. It involved 754 new born babies who were delivered over a period of four months. They were all examined and evaluated for external anomalies before discharge.

Results: A total of 754 live born infants were evaluated for external anomalies during the study; 52.8% were males and 47.0% were females while 0.2% was hermaphrodite. Of the 754 babies, 33 had external congenital anomalies although two of these babies had two anomalies each, making a total of 35 anomalies. The percentage of babies with external congenital anomalies was 4.4. The different anomalies found included those involving limbs (45.7%), Cleft lip and palate (14.2%), Central Nervous System (8.5%), Omphalocele (5.8%), Spina bifida (5.8%) and others (20.0%). Many of these anomalies were a cause of moderate to severe disability.

Conclusions/Recommendations: The most common external anomalies were limb defects followed by cranio-facial anomalies both of which constituted more than 68% of all cases. If not well managed, majority of these anomalies can greatly affect the quality of life of the individual. Hence need for appropriate and timely care to reduce on the magnitude of suffering the anomaly would otherwise have caused to the individual, family and the wider community.

Introduction

Congenital anomalies may be structural, behavioural, functional or metabolic defects acquired in utero and their nature and type are highly dependent on the causative agent as well as the time when they are first diagnosed¹⁻³. These disorders can affect almost each organ or organ system and their characteristics, incidence and prevalence depend on either genetic make-up of the individual, environmental factors surrounding the individual or a combination of both and their prevalence many times varies from region to region³⁻⁷.

External and internal congenital anomalies like sickle cell anaemia, blindness, heart defects, limb defects, facial clefts and many others continue to be a challenge to the Ugandan health system and the general public as well although the magnitude of the different defects has not been adequately documented around the nation⁸. There was a need to identify the different external defects present at birth in order to appreciate the magnitude of each and its eventual effect on the affected individual with documentation hoping to aid effective and planned health service delivery to the affected individuals. This study was aimed at determining the prevalence, nature and characteristics of external congenital anomalies in live born infants at Mulago Hospital.

Patients and Methods

This was a cross sectional descriptive study conducted at the post natal ward and Special Care Unit of Mulago Hospital, Kampala Uganda. All new born babies over a period of four months were examined and evaluated for external congenital anomalies. This was by taking history from the mother just before discharge from the post natal ward, followed by a physical exam of all the baby's systems to identify any external congenital anomalies which were eventually documented.

Ethical review and approval was sought from the Faculty of Medicine Research and Ethics Committee and Mulago Hospital Research and Ethics Committee before the study was conducted. Informed consent was obtained from the mothers before their babies could be included in the study. Mothers of babies that needed specialized treatment were advised accordingly and referred for appropriate care.

Results

Out of 754 new born babies there were 52.8% males, 47.0% females and 0.2% hermaphrodite. The male to female ratio was 1: 1.12.

Table 1. Distribution of External Congenital Anomalies According to Sex of Baby

Sex of Baby	Anomaly Present	Anomaly Absent	Total
Male	20 (5.02%)	378 (94.98%)	398 (100%)
Female	12 (3.40%)	343 (96.60%)	355 (100%)
Hermaphrodite	1 (100%)		1 (100%)
TOTAL	33 (4.4%)	721 (95.6%)	754 (100%)

Table 2. Types of External Congenital Anomalies in New Born Babies at Mulago.

Type of Anomaly	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Birth mark	1	2.9
Cleft lip and palate	5	14.2
Club foot	6	17.1
Congenital hip dislocation	3	8.5
Omphalocele	2	5.8
Hermaphroditism	1	2.9
Hydrocephalus	1	2.9
Hyper extended knee	1	2.9
Hyper extended neck	1	2.9
Mandibular hypoplasia	1	2.9
Penile hypoplasia	1	2.9
Para phimosi	1	2.9
Meromelia	1	2.9
Polydactyly	4	11.4
Sacroccygeal teratoma	1	2.9
Spina bifida	3	8.5
Folded ear	1	2.9
Syndactyly	1	2.9
TOTAL	35	100



Figure 1. Sacroccygeal teratoma. This type of anomaly can be a nightmare for the infant, parents, healthcare provider and the wider community

Table 3. Types of limb anomalies

Type of anomaly	Number of cases	Percentage
Club foot	6	17.1
Congenital hip dislocation	3	8.5
Polydactyly	4	11.4
Hyper extended knee	1	2.9
Meromelia	1	2.9
Syndactyly	1	2.9
Total	16	45.7

Thirty three babies had external congenital anomalies although the total number of external anomalies recorded was 35 because two of the babies had two external anomalies each. 20 of the babies with these anomalies were males, accounting for 5.02% of all males while 12 were females, accounting for 3.40% of all females. One baby was a hermaphrodite, accounting for 0.2%.

The overall prevalence of live born infants with external congenital anomalies was 4.4%. The different anomalies involved limbs anomalies (45.7%), lips and palate (14.2%), spina bifida (8.5%), Omphalocele (5.8%), CNS (5.8%), and others included sacrococcygeal teratoma (Figure 1), hyperextended neck, paraphimosis, penile hypoplasia, mandibular hypoplasia, folded ear and a birth mark. Many of the anomalies were major while some were life threatening and required surgical repair at one point in the near future.

As shown in Table 1, 52.8% of the babies were males and 47.0% were females. 0.2% was for the hermaphrodite. Of the 398 males studied, 20 had external congenital anomalies giving a prevalence of 5.02%. 12 out of the 355 female babies involved in the study had an external anomaly giving a prevalence of 3.4%. One baby was a hermaphrodite and accounting for of 0.2%. Thus the overall prevalence of the external congenital anomalies in all new born babies was 4.4%.

There were a total of 33 babies with external congenital anomalies implying that 4.4% of all new born babies had an external congenital anomaly. Two of these babies had multiple anomalies, giving a count of 35 anomalies hence the total prevalence of all anomalies was 4.64%. Many anomalies involved limbs, accounting for a total of 45.7% and these included club foot (17.1%), polydactyly (11.4%), congenital hip dislocation (8.5%), hyper extended knee (2.9%), syndactyly (2.9%) and meromeria (2.9%) of all the observed anomalies. Club foot was one of the commonest congenital anomalies.

Discussion

Congenital anomalies constitute a high proportion of new born babies in this population which was 4.4% despite the fact that the study concentrated only on external anomalies, with a possibility of higher percentages if internal anomalies were reviewed. This is also true because, many external congenital anomalies have other associated internal anomalies and may occur as multiple defects in the same individual³. For instance a hermaphrodite may have non-functional gonads.

Since the prevalence of anomalies was 5.02% among male and only 3.4% among females, this implied that among the research participants that were involved in this study, the chances of having an anomaly were much higher for males as compared to their female counterparts. The commonest single anomalies observed during the study was club foot which affected six children and constituted 17.1% of all the anomalies that were observed while other limb anomalies registered included polydactyl which comprised more than 11% of all the cases of congenital anomalies, congenital hip dislocation contributed 8.57% and a couple of others. It is therefore not surprising to have limb anomalies contributing more than 45% of all the cases recorded. This distribution is also comparable to other studies done elsewhere⁹.

Cranio-facial defects have also been noted to be very prevalent contributing about 23% of all case of congenital anomalies and distributed as cleft lip and palate 14.3%, while hydrocephalus, mandibular hypoplasia and folded ears each contributed 2.9%. It should be noted that some of these anomalies particularly

cleft lip and palate can be a big threat to the survival of the infant because normal breast feeding is affected. Meningo-vertebral defects including sacrococcygeal teratoma and spina bifida had four cases contributing to 11.4% of all cases of congenital anomalies at birth. This is a big number given that many affected children end up with a very poor quality of life for ever.

Urogenital disorders included penile hypoplasia, paraphimosis and hermaphroditism all of which contributed 8.57%. These types of anomalies can be a nightmare for parents of children especially where sex determination is important for cultural practices including naming of a child, because hermaphrodite children may not have their sex determined till much later¹⁰. However, more distressful anomalies of the urogenital system such as bladder exstrophy and uretero fistulae were not registered by the study.

The cases that needed most urgent attention included the two babies with omphalocele or 5.8% of cases observed, cleft lip and palate, sacrococcygeal teratoma, paraphimosis and to some extent hydrocephalus. This implies that many of these anomalies are actually life threatening and if not adequately managed may result in death of the infant. Their occurrence is a strain on the health delivery system to be able to handle such cases as they come with utmost care and professionalism despite the chronic challenge of both financial and skilled human resources.

Only one anomaly can be classified as a minor anomaly on the basis that it may not affect function or appearance, and may not require surgical intervention³. This anomaly was the birth mark and it contributed to 2.9% of all the anomalies. The remaining 34 (97.1%) anomalies were major anomalies on the basis that they have an effect on function as well as appearance. This is in contrast to previous studies that gave a prevalence of minor anomalies at 21% and major anomalies at 2%⁴⁻⁷. Thus, the prevalence of major anomalies in new born babies at Mulago hospital is by far much greater than the prevalence of minor external congenital anomalies. This total prevalence is high in a low resource country with a per capital expenditure on health which is less than US \$20

Conclusion and Recommendations

The most prevalent external anomalies were limb defects followed by cranio-facial anomalies both of which constituted more than 68% of all cases. If not well managed, majority of these anomalies can greatly affect the quality of life of the individual. Hence need for appropriate and timely care to reduce on the magnitude of suffering the anomaly would otherwise have caused to the individual, family and the wider community.

Acknowledgement

We appreciate the financial assistance by Sida/SAREC which enabled this study to be conducted. Our thanks go to the staff Department of Anatomy Makerere University and the staff of Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Mulago Hospital. We also appreciate our participants the new mothers and their babies without which the study would not have been possible.

References

1. The American Heritage, Dictionary of The English Language fourth edition, 2000
2. T .W. Sadler, Lang man's Medical Embryology, Eighth Edition, Ch.7, Lipincott Williams and Wilkins.
3. Medicine Net.com
4. Brian. R Lowry; Congenital Anomalies In Canada; A Perinatal Health Report; Home Publications, 2000
5. Dastigiri S, Stone H, Le-Ha C and W H Gilmour; Prevalence and Secular Trends of Congenital Anomalies in Glasgow, UK, Achieves of Disease in Childhood 2002; 86: 257 – 263
6. Rankin J, Pettenden S, Abramsky L, Boyd P, Jordan H. Stone D, Vrijeid M, Wellsley D and Dolk H (2005); Prevalence of Congenital Anomalies in Five British Regions 1991-1995, Archives Diseases In Childhood, Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 90, (5), F374-F379

7. Muhammad EL Kholy, Marwa E Fahmi, Ayman E Nassar, Samia Selim, Hesba H Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Anomalies in Children with Congenital Hypothyroidism in Egyptian Population, *Hormone Research* 2007, Vol. 68, 272 -275
8. Tann C, Kiggundu M, Ayiko B, Mabey D, Muwanga M, Elliot A, Whitworth J. Incidence and Consequence of Congenital Anomalies Among Hospital Born Infants in Entebbe, Uganda, *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 90 supplement 11: A 9, April 2005.
9. Czeizel A; Maternal mortality, fetal death, congenital anomalies and infant mortality at an advanced maternal age; *MATURITAS*, 1988; Suppl 1; 73 – 81.
10. Nthumba PM; Carter LL; Poenaru D; Ambiguous genitalia in rural Africa and the complexities of management: Which way forward? *East and Central African Journal of Surgery*. Vol 13 (1) Pg 51-59 Mar-Apr 2008